



Innovative Business Planning around Intellectual Property (IP) – with a specific focus on Life Science Companies

Dr. Sebastian Tegethoff European Patent and Trademark Attorney





24IP Law Group



© 2016

24IP LAW GROUP Seite 2





Sebastian Tegethoff – Vita

- Diploma Biology, Göttingen University
 - Developmental Biology
- Ph.D. Molecular Biology/Immunology Max-Delbruck-Centrum Berlin
 - Characterisation NF-κB activating kinase complex
- German Patent Attorney
- European Trademark and Design Attorney
- European Patent Attorney
- Lecturer and Coordinator Beuth UAS Berlin on Patent Law and Law on Employees' Inventions since 2007
- Lecturer UAS Hamm-Lippstadt on "Strategically IP Management", 2015
- Lecturer European Patent Academy of EPO





What is so special about IP in Life sciences?

"beginning with the end in mind"



 \rightarrow Avoid to be "off-patent" after grant of your patent





What is so special about IP in Life sciences?

"dancing between the raindrops"



© 2015 24IP LAW GROUP

\rightarrow Prior art is a jungle!







What do we mean by Intellectual Property?



24IP LAW GROUP



Commercialisation of IP Value

Creation			
Inventions	Protection		
Recipes	Patents	Exploitation	
Code	Copyright Registration	Product	
Interfaces	Registration Trademarks	Licensing	
Brand Names		Contractual Relationships	

 \square









Starting Point

→ Discovery that inhibition of receptor has beneficial effects <u>Note</u>: Discovery is not protectable by patents!

<u>Invention</u>

- \rightarrow Drug Discovery of receptor inhibitors by high through put screen
- \rightarrow Identification of lead compounds





EXAMPLE

IP strategy

- \rightarrow Search for lead compound(s)
- \rightarrow Search for literature supporting use (rationale)
- \rightarrow Involve chemist for optimization
- \rightarrow Data available supporting rationale?





EXAMPLE

Filing strategy

- \rightarrow First filing for obtaining official search report (LU; uk)
- \rightarrow Data available supporting use/indication?
- \rightarrow Withdraw first filing and re-file for obtaining new priority
 - \rightarrow optimization and
 - \rightarrow search for investors
- \rightarrow File PCT for obtaining additional time for
 - \rightarrow optimization and
 - \rightarrow search for investors
- → Data available, term sheets signed: Speed-up prosecution





© 2016

Harvesting Process for protection around core IP





Harvesting und Rating							
	5=Potential to enable an (de facto) industry standard 1=Unique Application (point solution)	5=great 1= simple	5=Highly Visible 1=totally invisible	5=not easy (broad claims possible) 1= Many other solutions (narrow claims)	5=lots of other applications 1=restricted to field	5=not a lot of art (broad claims possible) 1=lots of relevant art (narrow claims)	(Months)
Summary of Ideas	Essential to business	Technology	Visible to Competitor	Easy to work around	Application in other field	Prior Art	Time to Release (Generally available)



© 2016 24IP LAW GROUP





- New
- Inventive
- Commercially Applicable
- Not excluded from patent protection







Avoid the therapy, surgery, diagnostic trap in Europe

Article 53 EPC

European patents shall not be granted in respect of:

(c) Methods for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy and diagnostic methods practiced on the human or animal body;

this provision shall not apply to products, in particular substances or compositions, for use in any of these methods







Avoid the therapy, surgery, diagnostic trap - in Europe

 European method exceptions are confined to surgery / therapy / diagnostic methods

Not a method of treatment:

- treatment of a sheep in order to promote growth, to improve the quality of mutton or to increase the yield of wool
- purely cosmetic treatment of a human by administration of a chemical product (see T 144/83).

Yes – a method of treatment

A cosmetic treatment involving surgery or therapy



© 2016

EXAMPLE

A use of cosmetic and dermatologic preparations comprising one or more compounds selected from the group comprising

b) a combination of compounds selected from the group comprising ...

for lip protection and the prophylactic treatment of stinging and unspecific itching, for stabilizing the epidermal barrier.

→ violates Art. 53 c) EPC, because therapeutic treatment is within scope of protection

Seite 18

24IP LAW GROUP





Avoid the therapy / surgery trap

Non-therapeutic use of cosmetic preparations comprising

a) one or more compounds selected from the group comprising

b) a combination of compounds selected from the group comprising ...

for lip protection and the prophylactic treatment of stinging and unspecific itching, for stabilizing the epidermal barrier.

 \rightarrow Disclaimer of therapeutic use not admissible

→ Claim is unclear, Art. 84 EPC, therapeutic use disclaimed, but still within scope of protection "prophylactic treatment"





Avoid the therapy / surgery trap

Non-therapeutic use of cosmetic preparations comprising

a) one or more compounds selected from the group comprising

b) a combination of compounds selected from the group comprising ...

for lip protection and protection of the skin from stinging.

 \rightarrow Claim is clear, Art. 84 EPC

- \rightarrow No therapeutic within scope of protection
- → Wording is critical: "prophylactic treatment" belongs to therapeutic treatments, restoring skin after damage belongs to therapeutic treatments





Avoid the therapy / surgery trap

Board of Appeal Decision T 67/02

The Board sees in the first auxiliary request a clear differentiation of the claimed use [from therapy], since it does not apply to the treatment of already formed itching, but a protection is provided against external toxicants. **It is therefore clearly a "non-therapeutic" use to** shield the skin, which can be considered acting as a quasi cosmetic glove.



24IP LAW GROUP



Subject-matter of patent protection

- Composition of matter:
 - new compound
- First medical indication:
 - Compound known, but not for use as a medicament
- Second medical indication:
 - Compound known, use as a medicament known, but not use as medicament for the

treatment of *new indication*

 US: Method of treating, inhibiting, predicting, Monitoring, limiting disease, effects of disease





IP Strategy

- Analysis of prior IP rights to •
 - avoid dependent rights
 - obtain protection (dancing around raindrops) •
- Disclose enough without being to specific •
 - "chemical rooms"
 - Possibility to define "R" in a Markush-claim and relate it to specific advantages







EXAMPLE

- ICI held a patent in which fluconazole was covered by a Markush-claim, but was not specifically exemplified
- Pfizer made fluconazole and found that it had some distinct advantages versus the closest examples disclosed in the ICI patent:
 - lack of teratogenicity
 - better solubility
 - better antifungal potency
- Pfizer obtained a patent of selection





IP Strategy

- Avoid to provide a template for others (Big Pharma)
- Withdraw your application prior to publication if the lab is behind schedule
- Use priority year for obtaining a search report (UK, LU)
- Pay attention to national regulations (China: it is not possible to provide further experimental data during prosecution)
- Prepare the right data for supporting the claims (treatment of disease)
- Be careful when disclosing your assays as the might enable others to follow you





Baiscs of IP Protection

- File before publishing
 - Press release, Request Clinical Trials
 - Abstract books
 - Online publishing ahead of printing
 - Negotiations without NDA
- Is the invention complete or in progress?
- What about competitors?
- Alternative Solutions?
- Circumventions?
- Are we still protecting the product?





First filing © 2016

Schedule of IP protection

12 month Priority 18 month 30 Monate Priority-Period Novelty - Period

24IP LAW GROUP





- First filing fixes a date for relevant prior art
- Priority is a 12 month period from your first filing
- Without publication, each filing is suitable to let a new priority commence → withdraw first filing
- Use search results in subsequent filings
- Don't worry to put more than one invention (different chemical structures) in <u>one</u> first filing to create a priority date for all inventions







Priority and further prosecution

 Use one first filing as priority for multiple subsequent filings (composition of matter, method of manufacture, use as a medicament, treatment of disease 1, treatment of disease 2)

 \rightarrow create multiple rights for multiple licenses







Publication

- End of trade secret period → others see what your are working on
- Up to publication the subject matter of subsequent filings for further developments only has to be new as regards the first filing → unpublished first filings will not be considered for examining inventive step
- File for a new chemical formulation based on new experimental results
- Combine prior national applications







National / Regional Phases

- Think careful about the right countries/regions
 → manufacture and distribution
 → potential licensees
- US offers the opportunity of Continuation-In-Part (CIP) → you may add new matter and benefit from priority
- China: You are not allowed to provide further experimental data during prosecution







- Russia /Japan: Claims have to be literally comprised within description
- Singapore: Chose the right route for your application







Patent Application – Art 78 EPC

- Description
- Claims
- Drawings
- Abstract







Direct patent prosecution in the right direction

It's all in Article 84 EPC

"<u>The claims shall define</u> the matter for which protection is sought. They shall be

- Clear and
- Concise and
- be supported by the description.







Direct patent prosecution in the right direction

 \rightarrow Claims define the subject of

- \rightarrow Search
- \rightarrow Examination
- \rightarrow Protection

 \rightarrow Applicant defines subject matter of prosecution

 \rightarrow Take care of remaining in the field of cosmetics

24IP LAW GROUP





Patent Description Rule 42 EPC

(a) specify the <u>technical field</u> to which the invention relates; (b) indicate the background art which, as far as is known to the applicant, can be regarded as useful to understand the invention,; (c) disclose the invention, as claimed, in such terms that the technical problem, even if not expressly stated as such, and its solution can be understood, and state any advantageous effects of the invention with reference to the background art; (d) briefly describe the figures in the drawings, if any;

(e) describe in detail at least one way of carrying out the invention claimed, using examples where appropriate and referring to the drawings, if any




Unity of Invention – Art 82 EPC

"The European patent application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept."

- Might be contested in search report of first filing → no search for further inventions
- Assessment of offices may vary
- Be sure that the USPTO will issue a restriction requirement (35 U.S.C. § 121)







Patent Application

- Probability of Grant •
 - **Prior Art** •
 - Comments in Search Report
 - Number of Office Actions
- Protectable Subject Matter •
 - Any Restriction Requirements issued? •
 - Continuation/Divisional Applications



24IP LAW GROUP





Patent Application

- Time factor
 - Divide to speed um grant of allowable matter
 - Think about procedural steps to speed up grant (appeal in favor of further Office Actions)







Grant of a Patent

- Do you still protect your final product
 → relate product development and patent prosecution
- Did the Examiner work properly?
- Or did he/she want to get rid of your application by allowing it?
- Do you think your patent is enforcable?







Integrated IP Portfolio

- Patent protection is not the be-all and end-all
- Build a wall around your technologies using all kind of IP rights
- File for trademarks to name your technology
- File for designs for disposables
- File applications for further developments to extend time of protection → let the Examiner decide whether a further development is new and inventive



24IP LAW GROUP





Quality of your patent

- Do not produce a graveyard of features
- Take care of clear and understandable claims → the judges are no technical experts
- Are you really the owner of your rights or are there national regulations giving employees rights on inventions?
- Do not hold back information
- Disclose all prior art you are aware of (or others will do)
- Take care about national regulations
 → duty to use patents (Turkey, India)





Quality of your Patent

- Avoid dependent patents: medical use dependent on compound of matter
- Limitations due to contracts with third parties
 - collaboration agreement allows only non-exclusive licenses,
 - collaboration partner has a non-exclusive license,
 - both partners are allowed to exploit inventions independently
 - Ownership unclear \rightarrow law on employees' inventions



© 25.03.2015 24IP LAW GROUP





Development of a Patent Portfolio

- All-in only for filing: save time and money
- Use can be the most valuable part of a patent •
 - Do you remember Viagra?
- Gore-Tex:
 - Patents on basic PTFE process
 - One basic patent on fabric laminates
 - 100s of patent on use
- Supplementary Protection Certificate •
 - Once for a patent and not for every compound in one patent \rightarrow split up an application for different compounds / use

24IP LAW GROUP





Trademark, Company Name, Designs

Flanking technical IP rights

- Trademark
 - Name, identity of a product
 - Goods and Services
 - Enables to name a specific product/use
- Company Name
 - Separates your company from others
- Design
 - Protects the appearance of a product (MedTech)







Trademark, Company Name, Designs

- Ownership
- Regional extension
- Similar tradeamrks of competitors dilute protection/portfolio
- Co-Existence Agreements
- Use in manner to preserve your rights
- Good way to monitor competitors







Do you have an IP Strategy?

- Flanking protection of core technology?
- Extension of time by filing applications for further developments?
- Protection in the right territories?
- Cost control?
- One strategy for all patent families?
- Monitoring competitors
- Are IP and product development in accordance?





© 2016 24IP LAW GROUP

Seite 48

IP relevant Agreements

- R&D contracts
- Collaboration agreements
- Work programs (Horizon 2020)
- Tech Transfer or MTA
- License Agreements







© 2016 24IP LAW GROUP **IP** relevant Agreements

- Agreements transferable (by acceptance)
 - + Secure Freedom-To-Operate (FTO)
 - Access to background IP has to be granted
- License Agreements
 - Clear definitions on what will be licensed
 - Non-exclusive, exclusive
 - Compliance with legal provisions
 - Period
 - Define termination rights
 - Who is responsible for: renewal, infringements ...





Your Patent is dependent?

- Purchase
- Cross-License
- Circumvention
- Form a Patent Pool

\rightarrow Do not ignore others rights !







How to deal with others IP rights

- Assess probability of infringement proceedings
- Assess success rate (carefully!)
- Evaluate validity of others rights
 - Supplemental search
 - Analyze patent portfolio, sometimes prior art can be found in the portfolio of the patent proprietor
- Use your own IP to create willingness to negotiate







Prepare for Negotiations

- Does your technology posses unique features
- Easy to copy- low entry barrier for competitors
- Group of Owner
- IP rights are challenged
- FTO given





© 2015 24IP LAW GROUP

Summary

- Claims define the scope of protection
- Disclosure is relevant, but avoid
 - Holding back necessary information for a strong patent
 - Providing a blueprint for competitors
- Flanking IP rights protect your core technology
- Proactively work on your IP portfolio
- Monitor whether patent prosecution and product development walk hand in hand
 - Withdraw applications prior to publication
 - File new applications prior to publication



Seite 53



Summary

- Proper Documentation
 - Sale/ Licensing of overhang
 - Purchase/ Licensing of IP rights for FTO
- Don't forget: IP serves business needs don't collect certificates
- Don't just rely on inventors to submit ideas
- Talk to investors, no need to file patents without invention
- Let IP serve your business needs
- Monitor your competitors





Questions?

Dr. Sebastian Tegethoff European Patent and Trademark Attorney Patentanwalt (DE)

24IP Law Group Albertgasse 35 1080 Wien Austria

Tel +43 (0)1 717 28 777

tegethoff@24ip.com www.24ip.com



Seite 55